Jews

Case 1:06-cv-00500-MHT-TFM Document 18-7 Filed 10/02/2008 NEP age 4 1628 PLS COPY

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA CRIMINAL APPEALS CASE NO. CR-04-0781 SC CASE NO.

BRIAN SCOTT PATE

APPELLANT

VS.

STATE OF ALABAMA

APPELLEE

On Appeal from the Circuit Court of HOUSTON County, Alabama CC-02-1461.6 through CC-02-1472.60 Hon. LARRY ANDERSON, Judge

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI BRIEF OF APPELLANT BRIAN SCOTT PATE

PETITIONER pro-se:

BRIAN SCOTT PATE

AIS #229345 / KFD-3 P.O. Box 150 Mt. Meigs, Al 36057

(no phone)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA CRIMINAL APPEALS CASE NO. CR-04-0781 SC CASE NO.

BRIAN SCOTT PATE

*

APPELLANT

* APPEALED FROM THE CIRCUIT

* COURT OF HOUSTON COUNTY,

* ALABAMA CC-02-1461.60;

VS.

* THRU. CC-02-1472.60

*

STATE OF ALABAMA

*

APPELLEE

*

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Comes now your petitioner, BRIAN SCOTT PATE, prose, and petitions this Honorable Court for a Writ of Certiorari to issue to the Court of Criminal appeals of Alabama in the above styled cause under Rule 39 of the Alabama Rules of Appellate Procedure, and for grounds therefore, assigns the following, separately and severally, to-wit:

1. The Court of Criminal Appeals unjustly denied the motion for reconsideration filed by Mr. Pate, by stating that his appeal was dismissed as untimely filed, which is in direct conflict with Rule 26(a) Alabama Rules of Appellate Procedure.

On the 2nd day of February 2004, the office of Lane Mann, Clerk of the Court of Criminal appeals witnessed and affirmed by Memorandum Certificate of Judgement for the above cases. (**Exhibit #1**)

The appellant, at Kilby, received the Affirmed Certificate of Judgement, on February 3rd, 2004 as evidenced by the copy of the judgment attached as exhibit 1.

The petitioner contends that he was denied Due Process as guaranteed him by the $4^{\rm th}$, $6^{\rm th}$, and $14^{\rm th}$

Amendments to the United States Constitution, and The Alabama Constitution of 1901.

The Petitioner's evidentiary hearing was held on November 19, 2004. He was detained in Houston County Jail for 26 days, without access to a Law Library where he could perfect his Pro-se appeal, before being transported back to Kilby on the 14th day of December, 2004 where he was able to have access to their Law library. He then began his research and obtained the forms necessary to file his Notice of Appeal.

Pate did not receive notice from the courts that his Rule 32 was Denied until 12/2/04 as noted on the case action summary sheet. If we tabulate the 42 days from receipt of NOTICE of DENIAL of his Rule 32 the 42-day period does not expire until January 13, 2005. Therefore regardless of the holidays, or time detained by the Sheriff's office the notice of appeal was still filed in a timely manor. (Exhibit #2)

This Honorable Court should take note, that from December 15th, 2004 through January 7th, 2005, the date Petitioners Notice of Appeal was signed for by the Circuit Court Clerk of Houston County, a total of only 24 days had elapsed with 6 of those days being Legal Holidays (Christmas & New Year).

The Petitioners rights to Due Process were violated by his being denied adequate time for him to access the law library to effect his appeal. The Petitioner was detained in the county jail of Houston County for 26 days after his Evidentiary Hearing. Only after repeated request by Pate and filing a Grievance form with the County Sheriff was Pate finally returned to Kilby. (Exhibit #3)

Pate asked the Court of Criminal appeals to reconsider its dismissal on the grounds that he not only lost 26 days of his appeal time by being detained unnecessarily by the Houston County Sheriff's Department, but that he also did not have access to the law library at Kilby for 7 of the 24 days after his return to Kilby because the law library is closed on holidays and Sundays.

Pate immediately filed a MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION and was denied on March 2nd 2005. (Exhibit #4) (NOTE: this exhibit is not included in any copy other than The Copy to this court since all parties involved have a copy of the original Motion)

The Criminal Court of Appeals improperly denied Pate's Notice of Appeal as being time bared when in fact it was a <u>division of the Justice System</u> IE: Sheriff's Department, that was a direct result of his delay by keeping him unnecessarily detained for 20 days denying him access to a law library to effect his appeal in a timely manor.

2. One of the issues presented in pate's rule 32 was that the Circuit Court at the time of his PLEA agreement DID NOT properly explain the Minimum and Maximum sentence the court could impose if he plead Guilty.

When the petitioner signed his plea agreement the minimum and maximum sentence he was informed he could receive was not less than two years but not more than 20 years. At no time during the plea hearing did the Judge state that Pate could receive no less tan 2 years but not more than 240 years. (Exhibit #5)

Surely this Honorable Court can ascertain that Pate would never have agreed to pleading guilty the maximum of 240 years the court could have given him had the 12 charges went to trial and he was found guilty by a jury on all charges.

The petitioner contends that he was deceived by his attorney and the court into entering a guilty plea and the delay tactics used by the Justice System IE: Sheriff's Department, in detaining him for 26 days was done to prevent the Appellate Courts from reviewing this extreme miscarriage of justice.

WHEREFORE, Pate ask this Honorable court to review the Criminal Court of Appeals decision based on the fact that Pate could have effected his Notice of Appeal in a timely manor had he been expeditiously returned to his place of confinement and after preliminary examination, this Petition for Writ of Certiorari be granted.

That this Honorable Court proceed under it's rules to review this matter complained of and to REVERSE the JUDGEMENT by the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals and ORDER that the Petitioner's Notice of Appeal accepted by the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals as TIMELY FILED.

For such other relief that your Petitioner may be entitled under law.

Respectfully submitted in support thereof and enclosed herewith, is the Brief and argument of your petitioner, this the 4th day of March 2005.

> Brian Scott Pate Petitioner, pro-se

THE STATE OF ALABAMA - - JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT THE ALABAMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

CR-04-0781

Brian Scott Pate v. State of Alabama (Appeal from Houston Circuit Court: CC02-1461.60 through CC02-1472.60)

CERTIFICATE OF JUDGMENT

To the Clerk of the above noted Trial Court, Greetings:

WHEREAS, the appeal in the above-referenced cause has been considered by the Court of Criminal Appeals; and

WHEREAS, an order was issued this date in said cause containing the judgment indicated below:

Appeal Dismissed

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Rule 41 of the Alabama Rules of Appellate Procedure and the order of dismissal, it is hereby certified that the aforesaid judgment is final.

Witness. Lane W. Mann, Clerk Court of Criminal Appeals, on this the 2nd day of February, 2005.

Clark

Court of Criminal Appeals

State of Alabama

cc: Hon. Larry K. Anderson, Circuit Judge Hon. Judy Byrd, Circuit Clerk Brian Scott Pate, Pro Se Office of Attorney General Document 18-7

Filed 19/104/401977 #ag 7101 29

STATE OF ALABAMA VS BRIAN SCOTT PATE

CC02-1461.60 thru CC02-1472.60

8/20/04 - Rule 32 hearing continued and reset for October 22, 2004 at 9:00 a.m. Attorney Martin Adams withdraws from case and attorney)
Eric Davis is appointed.

(8/2/04 N: D.A., ED, MA, edept) = Jay K. Colem, Julye HCJ

10-5-04 Transport order.

10-22-04 - Continued for defendant due to atternays illness. Resatt for November 19, 2004 at 9:00 a.m.
11-2-04 Mottle Eric Navio, Jako K. Continues, July DA + Deft Dy Marty K. Continues, July

11-3-04 ORDER TO TRANSPORT IN FILE. N: DA, COURT LIASON (2), ERIC DAVIS, DOC

11-19-04 Based on testimony of attorney, Mike Creeping, the Count is reasonably datisfied that Defendant has failed to meet the threshold burden set out in Strickland v. Washington, Rule 32 relief is denied. Eric Dovis is allowed to withdraw. Anderson, Judge (12-2-05; N'. Deft, ED, DA)

01-10-05 Notice of appeal.

1-19-05 appeal noted but appears untinely,

Anderson, Judge

Case 1:06-cv-00500-MHT-TFM Document 18-7 Filed 10/02/2006 PERMINAL APPEALS

STATE OF ALABAMA

H. W. "BUCKY" McMILLAN Presiding Judge SUE BELL COBB PAMELA W. BASCHAB GREG SHAW A. KELLI WISE Judges



Lane W. Mann Clerk Sonja McKnight Assistant Clerk (334) 242-4590 Fax (334) 242-4689

CR-04-0781

Brian Scott Pate v. State of Alabama (Appeal from Houston Circuit Court: CC02-1461.60 through CC02-1472.60)

ORDER

Appellant's motion to reconsider dismissal of his appeal as untimely is DENIED.

Done this the 2nd day of March, 2005.

H.W. "Bucky" McMillan, Presiding Judge Court of Criminal Appeals

cc: Hon. Larry K. Anderson, Circuit Judge Hon. Judy Byrd, Circuit Clerk Andrea Martin, Court Reporter Brian Scott Pate, Pro Se

Office of Attorney General

Case 1:06-cv-00500-MHT-TFM__ Document 18-7 __ Filed 10/02/2006 __ Page 9-91-20 # 5

State of Alabama Unified Judicial System

EXPLANATION OF RIGHTS AND PLEA OF GUILTY

Case Number

Lant R Stread	Rev. 7/02	Rev. 7/02 (Non-Habitual Offender – Felony and Misdemeanor			. ·
		Circuit or Di	strict Court)	leanor	CC 2001 - 1461-72
IN THE	CIRCUI (Circuit or D	TCOURT	OF	STan Name of Cou	, ALABAM
STATEOFALA	BAMA vB	CLAN SCOTT PATE			unty)
			Defendant		
		IDANT: The Court, having been i al defendant. PENALTIES APPLICABI	ETO YOUR CASE		
You are charg Court has been inf which is a ☐ felor	ed with the crime of formed that you destroy misdemea	$f(A R)(x R): 3000My(2 (x 2))$ sire to enter a plea of guilty to \Box to enor. The sentencing range for the) his offense or □ to the e above crime(s) is se	ass B re- et out below:	Felony 🗆 Misdemeanor. T
MISDEMEAN	OR		FELONY		
Class A	jan, or a n	(1) year imprisonment in the county ne up to \$2,000, or both.	Class A	imprison	than ten (10) years and not mor or ninety-nine (99) year nent in the state penitentiary, and de a fine not to exceed \$20,000.
Class B	Up to six (county jail	6) months imprisonment in the , or a fine up to \$1,000, or both.	Class B	Not less t than twen state peni	han two (2) years and not more ty (20) year imprisonment in the tentiary, and may include a fine eed \$10,000.
Class C	Up to thre county jail both.	e (3) months imprisonment in the or a fine not to exceed \$500, or	Class C	imprisonm	nan one (1) year and one (1) day more than ten (10) years ent in the state penitentiary, and le a fine not to exceed \$5,000.
misdemeanor for which This crime is also Enhanced Pur where a "firearm or dea such event: For the cor imprisonment of not les Enhanced Pur selling any controlled su by an additional penalty	n you are convicted. subject to the followinishment For Use Or adly weapon was used mission of a Class Ass than 10 years; For hishment for Drug Substance within a threety of five years' imprisons.	I also be ordered to pay an additional in \$50 and not more than \$10,000 for an enhancements or additional penalty. Firearm Or Deadly Weapon: Section of or attempted to be used in the commits Felony, a term of imprisonment of not the commission of a Class C Felony ale Near School: Section 13A-12-250 at (3) mile radius of a public or private so the committed Substance To Controlled Substan	ies as provided by law: (no 13A-5-6, Ala. Code 197 nission of a felony." This of less than 20 years; For at term of imprisonmen on Ala. Code 1975, provide chool, college, university	Provisions Checks, provides for the section provides for the commission to find the commission to find the commission of	d not more than \$1,000 for each cked Apply To Your Case) the enhancement of a punishment in the following punishment in on of a Class B Felony, a term on 10 years. Son who is convicted of unlawfully onal institution, must be punished
punishment imposed st Drug Demand of Sections 13A-12-202 an additional fee of \$1,0 penalty will be suspende successful completion of Any suspension of the p In addition, pursuant to S offenses involving drugs revocation otherwise pro	nall not be suspended Reduction Assessm, 13A-12-203, 13A-12 2000 if you are a first-tied if, with court approof the program, you menalty can be withdra section 13A-12-214 (uits), you will lose your poided by law.	f Controlled Substance To One Under ed substance to one who has not yet or probation granted. Lent Act and Loss of Driving Privilegent Act and Loss of Drivilegent Act and Loss of Driving Privilegent Act and Loss of Driving Pr	ges: Section 13A-12-281 2-213, 13A-12-215 or 13A reat offender under one of gram and if you agree to nalty by the amount actu- successfully pursue or of second degree), Section of a period of six months, we	provides that, in A-12-231, Ala. Confitnese section pay for a part of ally paid by you otherwise fail to 32-5A-191(a)(3) which shall be in	unity of a Class A Felony and the f you are convicted of a violation ode 1975, you shall be assessed s. Collection of all or part, of the rall of the program costs. Upon for participation in the program. complete an approved program. or Section 32-5A-191(a)(4)(DUI n addition to any suspension or
referred will be consider random drug and alcoho	ny program to which y red a violation of any I testing or blood, uri	ou are convicted of an alcohol or drug-rowaluation, you will be required to compyou are referred. Failure to submit to probation or parole you may be grant he and/or breath, tests and to pay a fiftime you are financially unable to pay	an evaluation or failure ted. You may also be re	ourse or educat to complete any quired to attend	ion and/or treatment and to pay y program to which you may be monitoring sessions, including

	Form CR-51 (back)	Rev. 7/02	EXPLANATION OF RIGHTS AND PLEA OF GUILTY (Non-Habitual Offender – Felony and Misdemeanor Circuit or District Court)
1	5-100 ORS.		the offenses set out in Section 36-18-24, shall be ordered by the court to submit to the taking of a DNA social
- 1	DUI Offenses: of \$100.00 will be asses	Beginning Octobi sed pursuant to 5	er 1, 1993, if you are convicted of a DUI offense pursuant to Section 32-5A-191, Ala. Code 1975, an additional 5-
p to	☐ Drug Possessionaraphernalia offenses and Section 36-18-7, Ala. ☐ Other:	on: Beginning Or as defined in Sect Code 1975.	ctober 1, 1995, if you are convicted in any court of this state for drug possession, drug sale, drug trafficking, or drug ions 13A-12-211 to 13A-12-260, inclusive, Ala. Code 1975, an additional fee of \$100.00 will be assessed pursuar
			RIGHTS YOU HAVE AND THE WAIVER OF YOUR RIGHTS
ar up at to to by the star process of the star	You have the right to You have the right to not Not Guilty by Reason bon the evidence present to assist subpoena witnesses to take the witness stand at the State just as any of at fact to the jury. Your of the State just as any of at fact to the jury. Your of the State just as any of at fact to the jury. Your of the State just as any of at fact to the jury. Your of the State just as any of at fact to the jury. Your of the State just as any of at fact to the jury. Your of the State just as any of at fact to the jury. Your of the State just as any of the State just a	o enter, or stand of o enter, or stand of of Mental Diseas inted before them you, you would he testify on your beand to testify, but her witness is substantially be a conviously of the trial, you conside to trial, you consider the trial, you can be trially trially trially trial, you can be trially trially trial.	NDER ONE OF THE CONDITIONS ABOVE AND YOU ARE DETERMINED BY THE COURT TO BE INDIGENT, PRESENT YOU ON APPEAL IF YOU SO DESIRE AND IF THE APPEAL IS FROM A CIRCUIT COURT THE RECORD AND THE REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT WILL BE PROVIDED AT NO COST TO YOU.
defe volui or in	ntarity, and intelligently s	valvina his/her rin	ATTORNEY'S CERTIFICATE defendant by me; that I explained the penalty or penalties to the defendant, that I discussed in detail the pleading guilty; and that, in my judgment, the defendant understands the same and that he/she is knowingly, hts and entering a voluntary and intelligent plea of guilty. I further certify to the court that I have in no way forced to my knowledge, no one else has done so.
	3/6/03		
Date			Autorney
		DEFEND	ANT'S STATEMENT OF MANUER OF THE
04-1-	I certify to the court that	my attorney has	ANT'S STATEMENT OF WAIVER OF RIGHTS AND PLEA OF GUILTY

explained; that I understand the charge or charges against me; that I understand my rights, the punishment or punishments provided by law as they may apply to my case, and I understand the consequences of pleading guilty; that I am not under the influence of any drugs, medicines, or alcoholic beverages; and I have not been threatened or abused or offered any inducement, reward, or hope or reward to plead guilty other than the terms of the plea agreement which

I further state to the court that I am guilty of the charge to which I am entering a plea of guilty, that I desire to plead guilty, that I made up my own mind to plead guilty, and that I knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive my right to a trial in this case. I further state to the court that I am satisfied with my

Brian Pato

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA CRIMINAL APPEALS CASE NO. CR-04-0781 SC CASE NO.

BRIAN SCOTT PATE

APPELLANT

*

VS.

*

STATE OF ALABAMA

APPELLEE *

On Appeal from the Circuit Court of HOUSTON County, Alabama CC-02-1461.6 through CC-02-1472.60 Hon. LARRY ANDERSON, Judge

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI BRIEF OF APPELLANT BRIAN SCOTT PATE

PETITIONER pro-se:

BRIAN SCOTT PATE

AIS #229345 / KFD-3 P.O. Box 150 Mt. Meigs, Al 36057

(no phone)

STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENTS

Your Petitioner does not desire oral arguments in this case.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement Regarding Oral Arguments	i			
Table of Authorities				
Statement of Case	1			
Statement of Issues	2			
Statement of Facts	2			
Statement of Standard of Review	2			
Summary of the Arguments	2	&	3	
Arguments	3			
Issue I	3	&	4	
Conclusion				
Certificate of Service	6			

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

ALABAMA RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

Page No.

Rule 4 (a)

2 & 4

STATEMENT OF CASE

On 11/18/04 the Petitioner was transported to Houston County to be present for an Evidentiary on the afore listed cases, to be held on 11/19/04. On 12/2/04 Pate received written notice that his Rule 32 petition had been denied. Pate was held in the Houston county Jail after the hearing for 26 days before he was transported back to Kilby on December 14th 2004. On January 6th 2005 Pate mailed his NOTICE of APPEAL to the Houston County Court Clerk by Certified Mail. The Certified Mail Receipt was signed for on January 7th 2005.

On January 20th 2005 pate received a copy of his Case Action Summary Sheet noting that his Notice of appeal was filed on 1/19/05 (but seemed to be untimely filed). On February 4th 2005 Pate received his Certificate of Judgment from the Criminal Court of Appeals, stating his appeal had been dismissed as untimely filed.

Pate filed a Motion for Reconsideration to the Criminal Court of Appeals, but said Motion was denied on March 2^{nd} 2005.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

Issue I

That the Criminal Court of Appeals erred in dismissing Pate's Appeal as untimely filed which denied him due process as guaranteed him by the $4^{\rm th}$, $6^{\rm th}$ and $14^{\rm th}$ amendments to the United States Constitution and the Constitution of Alabama 1901.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

ISSUE I.

Pate has 42 days from receipt of NOTICE of DISMISSAL to file his Notice of Appeal. If we tabulate the time from December 2,2005, Pate had until January 13, 2005 to file his Notice of Appeal with the Circuit Court of Houston County.

STATEMENT OF THE STANDARD OF REVIEW

ISSUE I

The decision from the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals is in direct conflict with Rule 4 (a) Alabama Rules of Appellate Procedure.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENTS

Pate contends that The Alabama court of Criminal Appeals erred when it dismissed his Notice of Appeal as untimely

filed and then they denied his Motion for Reconsideration. That he in fact had 6 days from the date that the circuit court clerk signed the certified mail containing Pates Notice of Appeal.

ARGUMENTS

ISSUE I

When Pate filed his Motion to Reconsider with the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals his initial argument was that he was held in the Houston County Jail for 26 days after his hearing, which denied him access to a law library where he could not effect his appeal, since he was filing Pro-se. Thus the tolling of time should not have begun until he was returned to Kilby where he had access to a law library and the proper forms necessary to file Notice of Appeal.

Since the filing of the Notice to Reconsider Pate has become aware that he didn't receive written notice of the dismissal of his Rule 32 petition until December 2, 2005.

Pate asserts that his Notice of Appeal was timely filed. His Evidentiary hearing was concluded on November $19^{\rm th}$ 2005, he received written notice of the Circuit courts

decision to deny relief of his Rule 32 petition on December 2^{nd} 2004. The Circuit Court Clerk of Houston County signed for proper NOTICE of APPEAL on January 7^{th} 2005.

Rule 4 (a) A.R.A.P states: "Except as otherwise provided herein, in all cases..., The Notice of Appeal required by Rule 3 shall be filed with the clerk of the trial court within 42 days (6 weeks) of the date of entry of judgment..."

If this Honorable Court will look at "exhibit 2" it will see that Pate did not receive notice of dismissal of his Rule 32 until December 2,2005. Therefore his 42 days did not end until January 13,2005.

Wherefore according to Rule 4 (a) A.R.A.P. pate's notice of appeal should have been accepted by the Circuit Court of Houston County and the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals, as proper and timely filed.

CONCLUSION

Due process consistent with the Constitution of the United States and the Alabama Constitution of 1901 assures a defendant the right to appeal. It is a right that cannot be denied him by law.

This Honorable Court should not only take into consideration the fact that the Petitioner filed his

Notice of appeal within 24 days of his being brought back to Kilby from Houston county, where he would have access to a law library and the necessary forms required by the A.R.A.P.. Also that he did not receive notice of the denial of his Rule 32 Petition until December 2,2004. This would make his date for timely filing January 13, 2005.

Pate feels that he has done all he could under the circumstances to effectively and expeditiously file his Notice of Appeal in a timely manor.

WHEREFORE, the appellant would pray unto this Honorable Court to reverse the dismissal of his Notice of Appeal and remand back to the Circuit Court of Houston County to prepare the record on appeal and forward same to the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals and to the petitioner.

Respectfully submitted this the <u>flag</u>day of <u>March</u>,

Brian Scott pate

Petitioner, Pro-se

AIS# 229345 / KFD-3

P.O. Box 150

Mt. Meigs, Al 36057

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have on this the Harch, 2005, Served a copy of this Motion on the Respondent Judge the District Attorney of Houston County and all other parties to the action in the trial court whose names are listed below, by placing same in the U.S. Mail with sufficient U.S. Postage attached on this the ### day of March , 2004.

> Brian Scott Pate, Petitioner, pro-se AIS# 229345/KFD-3 P.O. Box 150

Mt. Meigs, Al 36057

Circuit Court Clerk of Houston County

Circuit Court Judge of Houston County

Office of the District Attorney Houston County Alabama

Honorable Lane W. Mann, Clerk Court of Criminal Appeals of Alabama

Honorable Troy King Attorney General for the State of Alabama